

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,907	01/19/2001	Stephen M. Trimberger	X-714 US	9367
<sup>24309</sup> XILINX, INC	7590 08/23/200	7	EXAM	INER
ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT			COLIN, CARL G	
2100 LOGIC D SAN JOSE, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2007.  2a)☐ This action is FINAL.  2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☒ Claim(s) 1-4,7.12.13.15.21 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  5)☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 is/are rejected.  7)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 is/are rejected.  7)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner			TRIMBERGER, STEPHEN M.
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e), in no event, however, may a reply be limitely filed after 51K (9) MONTHS from the maining date of the commencation.  If NO period for reply is application in the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e), in no event, however, may a reply be limitely filed after 51K (9) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  If NO period for reply is application in the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e), in no event, however, may a reply be limitely filed after 51K (9) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is application in the provision at the maining date of the communication.  If NO period for reply is application in the provision at the mailing date of this communication, and the provision of the communication of the provision of	Office Action Summary		
- The MALING DATE of this communication applears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eleminor of time may be available under the provinces of 7 CFR 1138(b). In one vent, however may reply be through the state of the sometime shadows of 7 CFR 1138(b). In one vent, however, may reply be through the date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing adation plays and will expire 3X (8) MONTHS from the mailing above of the period of 15 us 2 c. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months attent the mailing date of this communication. Pallet to reply within the sor or excended period for regly is specified. Set a period will apply and will expire 3X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallet to reply within the sor or excended period for regly in the station of 15 us 2 c. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months attent the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fried, may reduce any senter plants that the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fried, may reduce any senter plants that the mailing date of this communication.  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 08 June 2007.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1.4.7.12.13.15.21 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4) □ Claim(s) 1.4.7.12.13.15.21 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4) □ Claim(s) 1.4.7.12.13.15.21 and 44 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.4.7.12.13.5.21.35.21.31.31.31.31.31.31.31.31.31.31.31.31.31			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the many be switched under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(). In no event, however, may a reply be alreally flied  If NO period for reply a specified above, the measurum statutory period will apply and will expire SX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failure for provision by the filtre time remains after the maling date of this communication, even if filmely flied, may reduce any extense placine time algustment. See 7° CFR 1.704(s).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 08 June 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.4, 7.12, 13, 15, 21 and 44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) -4, 7, 12, 13, 15, 21 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) -4, 7, 12, 13, 15, 21 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or bin objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All bin Some 'c) Mone of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			correspondence address
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edenciosor of time myb ge valide under the provision of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In one vent, however, may a reply be timely fled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Faither to reply valide the time of the communication of the communic	• •		(O) OD THURTH (O) DAYO
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2007.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1-4,7,12,13,15,21 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vithdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of.  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing</li> </ul>	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-4,7.12,13,15,21 and 44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-4.7.12.13.15.21 and 44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to general expected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to general expected. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of: 1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    Attachment(s)     Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)Mail Date	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> .	June 2007.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-4.7.12.13.15.21 and 44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Informa Patent Application  5) Notice of Informa Patent Application	· <u> </u>		
Application be provided to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ III ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	• •	·	
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7,12,13,15,21 and 44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All   b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to part of the standard of election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,12,13,15,21 and 44</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.	
Claim(s)   1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44   is/are rejected.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		rejected.	
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· ·	(or election requirement	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	for election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application		n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  17.2(a)).  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9)  Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>	, ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * June 1	·	•	ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	• •	, ,,	her
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not receiv	cu.
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)	» <b>—</b>	, ,,,(DTO 442)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. —	Patent Application

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 6/8/2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, in the appeal brief, filed on 6/8/2007, with respect to the rejection of claims 1 and 44 have been fully considered, but they are moot in view of a new ground of rejection. In response to Applicant's arguments regarding claims 7 and 21 that the prior art does

not disclose the oscillator comprises configurable logic blocks. It is noted that these features are well-known in the art as set forth below. The following claims 1-4, 7, 12-13, 15, 21, and 44 are pending for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 12-13, 15, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent 5,963,043 to Nassif in view of US Patent 5,970,142 to Erickson in view of US Patent 6,233,339 to Kawano et al.

As per claim 1, Nassif substantially discloses a method comprising: counting a first number of oscillations of a first oscillator on the integrated circuit (see column 4, lines 56-67) and the number of oscillations is counted over a fixed time interval (see column 4, lines 2-8) that meets the recitation of *counting a first number of oscillations of a first oscillator during a* 

predetermined time interval and Nassif further discloses counting a second number of oscillations of a second oscillator on the integrated circuit (see column 4, lines 56-67) and the number of oscillations is counted over a fixed time interval (see column 4, lines 2-8) that meets the recitation of counting a second number of oscillations of a second oscillator during a predetermined time interval; Nassif discloses generating a ratio between the first number and the second number of oscillations that represents an inherent manufacturing characteristic unique (see column 4, lines 56-67). Nassif does not explicitly disclose the circuit is programmable (i.e. an FPGA). Use of programmable integrated circuit is notoriously wellknown in the art of cryptography. Erickson in an analogous art teaches a programmable integrated circuit as an FPGA and method for securing data used to configure a PLD or FPGA (see column 1, lines 5-15 and line 59 through column 2, line 49). Erickson further discloses generating a key that meets the recitation of fingerprint that represents an inherent manufacturing characteristic unique to the FPGA and transmitting encrypted configuration data from the storage device to the FPGA, for example (see column 3, lines 34-36); and a decryption circuit coupled to received encrypted configuration data, the decryption circuit configured to decrypt the encrypted configuration data in the FPGA using the fingerprint as a decryption key to extract the configuration data, for example (see column 3, lines 34-42). See also column 2, lines 23-37. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the method of characterizing the circuit disclosed by Nassif into a programmable circuit so as to determine the performance of the circuit and to determine whether or not they exceed a certain design criteria as suggested by Nassif (see column 1, lines 15-30) and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of

using as FPGA as taught by **Erickson** for protecting the circuit designs from illegal copying and use (see column 1, lines 26-39).

Page 5

Nassif discloses generating a ratio from dividing the number of two oscillations from two oscillators and further discloses that the ratio of the oscillation periods is proportional to the ratio of the capacitance but does not explicitly disclose using the ratio of the oscillators or capacitance as a key or fingerprint for cryptographic operation such as decryption of encrypted data.

Kawano et al in an analogous art teaches using the ratio of the capacitance for forming a specific code for the purpose of encryption/decryption (see column 19, lines 30-50 and column 26, lines 30-41). Therefore, since the ratio of the oscillation periods is proportional to the ratio of the capacitance, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the features as taught by Kawano et al of using the ratio of the capacitance as a fingerprint or key for the purpose of protecting secret data against intrusion (see column 1, lines 5-12) to modify Nassif and use the ratio of the oscillations as a fingerprint or key. As disclosed in Kawano et al the motivation for the combination would be to protect secret data against intrusion.

As per claim 2, the references as combined above disclose the claimed method of claim 1. Erikson further discloses configuring the FPGA using configuration data, for example (see column 3, lines 39-42). Therefore, this claim is rejected on the same rationale s the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 3, the references as combined above disclose the claimed method of claim 2. Erikson further discloses the limitation of further comprising: transmitting the fingerprint from the FPGA to an encryption circuit, for example (see column 3, lines 31-32); encrypting the configuration data using the fingerprint as an encryption key, for example (see column 3, lines 30-35); and storing the encrypted configuration data in the storage device, for example (see column 3, lines 15-18). ). Therefore, this claim is rejected on the same rationale s the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 4, the references as combined above disclose the claimed method of claim 1. Erikson further discloses the limitation of wherein the fingerprint generated during power-up of the FPGA, for example (see column 3, lines 25-30). Therefore, this claim is rejected on the same rationale s the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 12, Nassif substantially discloses a fingerprint element for generating fingerprint comprising: first and second oscillators and sensing circuit including means for counting a first number of oscillations of a first oscillator and means for counting a second number of oscillations of a second oscillator during a predetermined time interval (see column 4, lines 56-67) and the number of oscillations is counted over a fixed time interval (see column 4, lines 2-8); Nassif discloses means for generating a ratio between the first number and the second number of oscillations that represents an inherent manufacturing characteristic unique (see column 4, lines 56-67). Nassif does not explicitly disclose the circuit is programmable (i.e. an FPGA). Use of programmable integrated circuit is notoriously well-known in the art of

cryptography. Erickson in an analogous art teaches a programmable integrated circuit as an FPGA and method for securing data used to configure a PLD or FPGA (see column 1, lines 5-15 and line 59 through column 2, line 49); a plurality of configurable logic elements within the FPGA being programmable with configuration data to implement a desired circuit design, for example (see column 3, lines 5-21);. Erickson further discloses key generator for generating a key that meets the recitation of fingerprint element for generating fingerprint that represents an inherent manufacturing characteristic unique to the FPGA and transmitting encrypted configuration data from the storage device to the FPGA, for example (see column 3, lines 34-36); and a decryption circuit coupled to received encrypted configuration data, the decryption circuit configured to decrypt the encrypted configuration data in the FPGA using the fingerprint as a decryption key to extract the configuration data, for example (see column 3, lines 34-42). See also column 2, lines 23-37. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the method of characterizing the circuit disclosed by Nassif into a programmable circuit so as to determine the performance of the circuit and to determine whether or not they exceed a certain design criteria as suggested by Nassif (see column 1, lines 15-30) and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of using as FPGA as taught by Erickson for protecting the circuit designs from illegal copying and use (see column 1, lines 26-39).

Nassif discloses generating a ratio from dividing the number of two oscillations from two oscillators and further discloses that the ratio of the oscillation periods is proportional to the ratio of the capacitance but does not explicitly disclose using the ratio of the oscillators or capacitance as a key or fingerprint for cryptographic operation such as decryption of encrypted data.

Kawano et al in an analogous art teaches using the ratio of the capacitance for forming a specific code for the purpose of encryption/decryption (see column 19, lines 30-50 and column 26, lines 30-41). Therefore, since the ratio of the oscillation periods is proportional to the ratio of the capacitance, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the features as taught by Kawano et al of using the ratio of the capacitance as a fingerprint or key for the purpose of protecting secret data against intrusion (see column 1, lines 5-12) to modify Nassif and use the ratio of the oscillations as a fingerprint or key. As disclosed in Kawano et al the motivation for the combination would be to protect secret data against intrusion.

As per claim 13, the references as combined above disclose the claimed FPGA of claim 12. Erikson further discloses a configuration circuit for configuring the FPGA using configuration data, for example (see column 3, lines 39-42). Therefore, this claim is rejected on the same rationale s the rejection of claim 12 above

As per claim 15, the references as combined above disclose the claimed FPGA of claim 12. Erikson further discloses transmitting the fingerprint from the FPGA to an encryption circuit, for example (see column 3, lines 31-32); encrypting the configuration data using the fingerprint as an encryption key, for example (see column 3, lines 30-35); and storing the encrypted configuration data in the storage device, for example (see column 3, lines 15-18). Therefore, this claim is rejected on the same rationale s the rejection of claim 12 above

Application/Control Number: 09/765,907 Page 9

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 44, the references as combined above disclose the claimed method of claim 1 wherein the generating the ratio is obtained by dividing the first number of oscillations by the second number of oscillations (see Nassif, column 3, lines 36-37).

4. Claims 7 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,963,043 to Nassif in view of US Patent 5,970,142 to Erickson in view of US Patent 6,233,339 to Kawano et al as applied to claims 1 and 12 and further in view of US Patent 6,005,829 to Conn.

As per claims 7 and 21, Nassif does not explicitly disclose the oscillators comprise configurable logic blocks. Conn in an analogous art teaches oscillator circuit comprises configurable logic blocks as a well-known circuit (see column 3, lines 8-20 and column 5, lines 34-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the oscillators comprising configurable logic blocks as a design choice for the predictable result of making it adaptive to configuration control as well-known feature.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO form 892.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,907

Art Unit: 2136

5.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Colin whose telephone number is 571-272-3862. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C.C./

Carl Colin

Patent Examiner

August 18, 2007

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Page 10

8,20,07